2008-2009 SES EVALUATION REPORT

DEMOGRAPHIC DATA

PROVIDER NAME: Educate Online

DISTRICTS SERVED: Gary Com. Schools, School City of Hammond

OF STUDENTS SERVED*: 18 (English/Language Arts); 10 (Math)

*DEFINED AS ATTENDING AT LEAST ONE SES SESSION

2008-2009 EVALUATION GRADES (see report below for details)

CUSTOMER SATISFACTION: B+

(How satisfied are districts, schools, and parents with the services that the provider offered)?

SERVICE DELIVERY: B

(How well did the provider implement services, and to what extent did the provider implement its program with fidelity to its originally approved application)?

ACADEMIC EFFECTIVENESS: B

(Is the provider increasing the academic achievement of the students it served)?

CUSTOMER SATISFACTION

PARENT REPORT

% of parents reporting: 57%

Overall score: 3.6 out of 4.0

DISTRICT REPORT

% of districts served reporting: 100%

Overall score: 4.0 out of 4.0

PRINCIPAL REPORT

% of principals reporting: 33%

Overall Score: 2.7 out of 4.0

CUSTOMER SATISFACTION GRADE: B+

SERVICE DELIVERY

% of parents reporting: 57%

Overall score: 3.4 out of 4.0

DISTRICT REPORT:

PARENT REPORT

% of districts reporting: 100%

Overall score: 100%

PRINCIPAL REPORT:

% of principals reporting: 33%

Overall score: 3.0 out of 4.0

ONSITE MONITORING/COMPLIANCE: 2.4 out of 4.0

Go to (http://mustang.doe.in.gov/dg/ses/Evaluations-onsite-0809.cfm) to view the Onsite Monitoring Report from 2008-2009

SERVICE DELIVERY GRADE:

B

ACADEMIC EFFECTIVENESS

COMPLETION RATE: 72% (English/Language Arts)

80% (Math)

TYPE OF ASSESSMENT USED BY PROVIDER: CAT

% OF STUDENTS SHOWING GAINS ON 43% (English/Language Arts)

PROVIDER ASSESSMENT: 38% (Math)

% OF STUDENTS WHO ATTENDED

80% OR MORE SESSIONS: 83% (English/Language Arts)

(Based on # attending 80% / # served who attended at 80% (Math)

least one session)

ISTEP+ DATA (included in academic effectiveness grade):

SES STUDENTS ONLY: ISTEP+ RESULTS

Category	Educate Online (E/LA)	All SES Students Statewide (E/LA)*	Educate Online (Math)	All SES Students Statewide (Math)*
# of students	9	2869	<5	2823
% showing				
improvement on ISTEP+**	86%	50%	<5	49%

^{*}Includes all students participating in SES who completed 80% of their sessions and have ISTEP+ scores for both years.

SES AND NON-SES STUDENTS MATCHED: ISTEP+ RESULTS

ENGLISH/LANGUAGE ARTS										
	#	% Matched	% showing	change in						
	Matched		improvement	passing %*						
SES			86%	-14.3%						
Not SES	5	56%	43%	-14.3%						

^{*}Change in passing percentage compares the two groups passing percentages from Fall 2008 to Spring 2009

Note that information provided in the ISTEP+ analysis represents descriptive statistics only (averages and percentages).

Δ	C	ΔT	EI	MI	\cap	$\mathbf{F}.\mathbf{F}$	'FF	CT	IV	Tr.	N.	FS	2	GR	Δ	DI	₽.•	F
$\overline{}$		•		V I I I	١.	' '	, ,	/ L . I				111		1 T I 1	_	.,.	'4 -	

OVERALL GRADE: B

^{**}Improvement on ISTEP+ is defined as, for students who did not pass ISTEP+ in Fall 2008, getting closer to the ISTEP+ spring 2009 cut score, and for students passing ISTEP+ in Fall 2008, getting further away from the ISTEP+ spring 2009 cut score.